

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVE	RY (CI)			
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO):			
AIRS ID#: 0110033 DATE: <u>1/11/2013</u> ARRIVE: <u>1300</u>	DEPART: <u>1400</u>			
FACILITY NAME: CEMEX-SUNRISE READY-MIX				
FACILITY LOCATION: 1050 NE 5TH TER				
FORT LAUDERDALE 33304-4911				
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: JEFFREY PORTER* Email: CONTACT NAME: JAMES PATCHIN* Email: jpatchin@cemexusa.com ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 8/9/2012 / 8/9/2017 (effective date) (end date)	E: (954)523-6582			
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE				
DARTH, ONCITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING				
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Jeffrey Porter Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)			
2. Is the Authorized Representative still JEFFREY PORTER*? If no, who is?:				
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still JAMES PATCHIN*?				
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection?				

Emissions Unit Section 1 –CCB Plant-truck loadout w/central cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
1. Date of last inspection: 1/24/2012 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	Yes	No No No
DADTH, EIELD ODGEDWATIONG, D.L. (2.20(.414/2), E.A. C.		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
<u>Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards</u>		
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:		
	•	
 a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the follown. 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? 		No
2) application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	Yes \[\bullet \]	No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne	_	
particulate matter? 🖂	Yes	No
4) reduction of stock pile height, or installation of wind breaks to mitigate wind entrainment of particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	Yes \square N	No
	100 1	, 0
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes 🛛 N	No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity?	Yes 🛛 N	No
1		

Emissions Unit Section 2 –CCB Plant-split silo, compart. #1 w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
1. Date of last inspection: 1/24/2012 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	□ No □ No □ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards? ————————————————————————————————————	□ No□ No□ No□ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	☐ No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	⊠ No ⊠ No		

Emissions Unit Section
3 –CCB Plant-split silo, compart #2, w/cartridge dust collector subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION		
Date of last inspection: 1/24/2012 Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection? If not: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)? b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? N/A c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
DARWAY WAY D ORGEDY LEYONG D L (A 40) (414/2) E L C		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.		
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and		
Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards		
Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfine emissions by:	ed	
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the f	ollowing:	
1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?		☐ No
application of water or environmentally safe dust-suppressant chemicals when necessary to control emissions?	✓ Ves	□ No
3) removal of particulate matter from roads and other paved areas under control of the	- 🔼 103	☐ 1 10
owner/operator to re-entrainment, and from building or work areas to reduce airborne		
particulate matter?	- L Yes	∐ No
particulate matter from stock piles?	Yes	☐ No
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken:		
a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	Yes	No No
b. If tested: ()% opacity. Were the visible emissions < 20% opacity? c. What caused the problem(s) (if known)?	∐ Yes	⊠ No

Emissions Unit Section 4 –CCB Plant-cement weigh hopper w/baghouse batcher vent subject to Reasonable Precautions

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION			
1. Date of last inspection: 1/24/2012 2. Did the emissions unit use reasonable precautions during the last inspection?	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No		
PART II: FIELD OBSERVATIONS – Rule 62-296.414(2), F.A.C.			
Unconfined Emissions from Truck Loading and Unloading, Hoppers, Storage and Conveying Equipment, Conveyor Drop Points, Roads, Parking Areas, Stock Piles, and Yards			
1. Does the owner/operator of the concrete batching plant take reasonable precautions to control unconfined emissions by:			
a. Management of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards, which shall include one or more of the following: 1) paving and maintenance of roads, parking areas, stock piles, and yards?	□ No□ No□ No□ No		
b. Use of spray bar, chute, or partial enclosure to mitigate emissions at the drop point to the truck? Yes	□ No		
2. If reasonable precautions <u>not</u> being taken: a. Did the inspector perform a general VE test (20% opacity)?	⊠ No ⊠ No		

Facility Section (continued)

<u>C(</u>	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹	only one
		box for each	
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	☐ No ☐ No ☐ No
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air gene permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		☐ No
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	-	NoNoNoNoNoNo
4.	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal property 275,000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal property 1.3 MM gal property the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ne/yr)? □ No
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check 🗹 box for each	
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	Yes	⊠ No
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	- X Yes	☐ No
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	□ No
	permit and Department rules?	X Yes	☐ No

RELOCATABLE PLANT:	I.	(check 🗹 o	•
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both state concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (<i>If</i>	itionary and relocatable	•	uestion)
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization?(If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		☐ Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or Loe-e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day p. b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notifical 	rior to changing location?	Yes	□ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busines c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notification	s days following a relocation? on Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)]	Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five b	ousiness days prior to relocation?	Yes Yes	☐ No
3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate air and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit in a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine purport of YES, what was the purpose? b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long it we co-located at the permitted facility?	n that separate permit: ose (i.e, there is no repeated usage)? vas	☐ Yes	□ No
If YES, were any periods more than 6 months in duration?		Yes	☐ No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of the		(check 🗹 o box for each que we not	
associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocation of operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor admini 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days or New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	strative change at the facility?	☐ Yes	⊠ No ⊠ No
3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment? b. Alterations to existing process equipment without replacement? - c. Replacement of existing equipment with equipment that is substated. A change in ownership?	ntially different?	☐ Yes	NoNoNoNoNo
4. If the answer to any question 3a. – d. is YES, was a new registration 30 days prior to the change?		itted Yes	□ No
Elizabeth F. Susky	1/11/2013		
Inspector's Name (Please Print)	Date of Inspection		
	1/11/2013		
Inspector's Signature	Approximate Date of Next Inspe	ection	

COMMENTS: In a compliance inspection conducted on 1/11/2013, AQD staff observed operations at Cemex. The facility is slow, but the facility wants to keep the permit active.